



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		Y		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,795	09/11/2000	Charles A. Lemaire	750.006US1	4145
	7590 12/21/2006 , LUNDBERG, WOE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PARDO, THUY N	
WINNEAL OLI	3, WIN 33402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2165		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2006.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)			Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Thuy N. Pardo  Thuy A. Pardo  Thuy A. Pardo  Thuy and Thuy n. Pardo  Thuy and Thuy n. Pardo  Thu	Office Action Summary		09/658,795	LEMAIRE ET AL	LEMAIRE ET AL.			
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of time may be availated under the provisions of 3° CPR 1.136(), in a overt, however, may nety be timely filled to the provision of 3° CPR 1.136(), in a overt, flower, may nety be timely filled to 11th Deprid for redy is possible down, the manner statistics period will apply and will apply and Will applies SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  Fallurs to reply which the stor demands dared for reply is possible, and the provision of the application to be application to be supplied to 15th Communication.  Fallurs to reply which the stor demands dared for reply will by statistic, causer the application of 15th Communication.  Fallurs to reply which the stor demands dared for reply will by statistic, causer the application from the making date of this communication, even if simply filled, may reduce any search period to 15th Communication.  Fallurs to reply which the stor demands dared for reply will be stored to 15th Communication.  Fallurs to reply with the store communication of 15th Communication.  Fallurs to reply with the store communication of 15th Communication.  Fallurs to reply septiment.  Fallurs to reply with the store communication.  Fallurs to reply septiment.			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a may be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a may be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a may be timely filed.  Extensions of timely support and above, the maximum statutory period via page) and will explore the making date of this communication.  Fairy day forced by the difficult above, the maximum statutory period via the page and will explore the provision of t			Thuy N. Pardo	2165				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions to the many be available under the provision of 37 cPt 1.15(a). In ne evert, however, may a reply be limby field after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fairbot for growy within the set or extended period for rejival, they shall expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fairbot for growy within the set or extended period for rejival, they shall expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Prove if timely fleet, may reduce any searce patent term department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4(□) Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  (b) □ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are rejected.  (c) □ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are rejected.  (c) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  (d) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  (e) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  (f) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  (g) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) or the Interval of the priority documents have been received in Applic	Period fo		ears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence a	address			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2006.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  11 □ Notice of Parlsperspon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  31 □ Information Discoberry Statement(s) (PTO-9550)  41 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  10 □ Notice of Draftsperspon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  31 □ Notice of D	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  The may a reply be timely filed  The mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  11 ☐ Notice of Praftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  31 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  11 ☐ Notice of Praftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  31 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2006.					
3	· —							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some *c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<i>,</i> —	,—-		al matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is			
4) Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Autice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/St/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	- ,							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37   s/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Intornational Blastement(s) (PTO/St/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposit	ion of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37   s/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Intornational Blastement(s) (PTO/St/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠	Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-37 is/are pending in the	application.					
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).     a)  All  b)  Some *c)  None of:     1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·/ <b>_</b>							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		. ,						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8)	•	r election requireme	ent.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicat	ion Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•			ted to by the Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<u>-</u>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachmen	t(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								

Application/Control Number: 09/658,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's Response to Examiner's Office Action filed on September 18, 2006 has been reviewed. Claims 10, 14 and 18 are pending in this application. This Office Action is Final.

2. Claims 10-16 and 18-37 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 10-15 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (Hereinafter "Anderson") U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0158524.

As to claim 10, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising: receiving a plurality of transactions for the database system including a first transaction from a service provider 0026] and a second transaction from a service consumer [0033], wherein the first and the second transactions are each associated with the service consumer [ab; 0003].

storing the plurality of transactions into the database system [database server 36 of fig.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,795

Art Unit: 2165

selectively enabling access by the service consumer [customer can review transactions or wish to submit payments electronically, 0004-0005; 0033], based on an identification of the service consumer [account # and authorization code, 92 of fig. 4; 0016], to the stored first and second transactions associated with the service consumer to whom access is enabled [stored in database server 36 of fig. 1]; and

accessing the stored first and second transactions associated with the service consumer to whom access is enabled, the accessing being performed by the service consumer to whom access is enabled [customer can review transactions or wish to submit payments electronically, 0004-0005; 0033].

As to claim 11, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches receiving transactions by a docketing provider [ab].

As to claim 12, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches viewing a log of pending action items [0003-0006].

As to claim 13, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches that the service consumer uses a browser to access the stored transactions [0003-0006].

As to claim 14, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis of claim 10 above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 15, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis of claim

11 above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claims 30-31, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson

further teaches receiving an electronic message [receive reports, 0029-0030] and decoding the

transaction from the electronic message [user must enter the authorization code to view the

report, 0027-0031], encoding the transaction into an electronic message and transmitting the

electronic message [credit and debit transactions are encoded with Account #, Merchant #,

authorization code...etc, 0027-0034; 90-94 of fig. 4].

As to claims 32-34, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson

further teaches receiving transactions by a docket provider [financial service provider, 0029],

viewing a long pending action items [0034], and using a browser to access the stored

transaction [0026; 0034].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 16, 18-29 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Anderson et al. (Hereinafter "Anderson") US Patent Application No. 2004/0158524, in

view of Whitmyer, Jr., US Patent Application No. 2002/0029215.

As to claims 18 and 35, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed, with the

exception that the information is regarding to a patent application and a service provider is

associated with an intellectual property lawyer. Whitmyer teaches the information is regarding to

a patent application [ab; 0015] and a service provider is associated with an intellectual property

lawyer [IP Office, 32 of fig. 2]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to add this feature to Anderson's system as an

essential means to provide an efficient way of transferring patent documents to and from

necessary intellectual property recordation authorities.

As to claim 16, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis above,

and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 23, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson

further teaches an input device that obtains a database transaction [inherent in the system]; an

encoder that inserts the transaction into an electronic message [90-94 of fig. 4].

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 24, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches a database stored in the storage, the database holding data for a plurality of service consumers including the first service consumer and for the first service provider [48, 50, 52 of fig. 2]; and a database transaction processor operatively coupled to the receiver of database transaction information and to the storage [database servers, 36 of fig. 1].

As to claims 25-29, 36 and 37, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis of claims 11-13 above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on April 04, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Applicant argues that Anderson fails to teach transactions between the service provider and the service consumer. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Anderson teaches receiving transactions from a financial services provider [0026] and transactions from the service consumer/customer [customer can review transactions or wish to submit payments electronically, ab; 0003-0005; 0033]. Applicant argues that the term "transaction" in the application is different to the term "transaction" in Anderson. As to this point, Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Transactions in Anderson includes financial transactions and reports for user's current month's statement received in electronic mail [fig. 5; 0032] as same as the definition of the term "transaction" in the Specification of the Application in which a database transaction is an action object that is transmitted as electronic mails between source and destinations [see page 13, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/658,795

Art Unit: 2165

2-21]. Furthermore, the features of an e-mail including the transaction object and extracting a database transaction from an electronic mail have not been disclosed in the independent claims.

Applicant argues that there is no indication that the described invention of Anderson would or could accept a transaction from a customer for adding or modifying information in a database.

As to this point, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that Anderson teaches this feature. User not only can view credit and debit card transactions but also can manipulate their own financial data [see 0033-0034].

Applicant argues that there is no description that the system would or could receive a second transaction from a service consumer.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. This feature is inherent in the system since Anderson teaches that users may manipulate their own finacial data. Users can check their account balances, transfer funds between their own accounts...etc. and of course there must be more than one transactions between the user and the service provider.

Applicant argues that this is not a database to support a patent application service provider.

As to this point, Examiner respectfully disagrees. A database system for transactions between users and their service providers are welknown and it can be applied in many exclusive operations, such as on-line banking, shopping in Internet, and in a patent application system as well. This is only a matter of a design choice. Moreover, Examiner also introduces the Whitmyer's system for transferring intellectual property over the Internet.

Applicant argues that Whitmyer does not suggest that an attorney involved in its system.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,795

is control rumber. 05/050,

Art Unit: 2165

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Again, Examiner believes that this is only a matter of a design choice. Since Whitmyer teaches a system for transferring intellectual property transactions over the Internet. An attorney for the inventor is inherent in the system in order to handle legal matters with the proper preparation and prosecution of patent transactions.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at 571-272-4146.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,795 Page 9

Art Unit: 2165

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as follows:

571-273-8300

(Official Communication)

and/or:

571-273-4082 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 18, 2006

THUY N. PARDO
PRIMARY EXAMINER